# Minimal affinizations and their graded limits

Katsuyuki Naoi

Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology

Cluster Algebras and Representation Theory @ CMC

November 4th, 2014

#### Introduction

Jacobi-Trudi formula

For a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n)$ ,

$$s_{\lambda}(x) = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

 $s_{\lambda}(x)$ : Schur polynomial,  $h_k(x)$ : complete symm. polynomial.

Translation in the  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -modules

$$\lambda \in P^+$$
: dom. int. wt  $\leadsto \lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n)$  by  $\lambda_i = \sum_{k \ge i} \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  ch  $V(\lambda) = s_{\lambda}(x)$ , ch  $V(k\varpi_1) = h_k(x)$   $(V(\lambda)$ : simple  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -mod.)

#### Theorem

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$$

#### Introduction

Jacobi-Trudi formula

For a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n)$ ,

$$s_{\lambda}(x) = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

 $s_{\lambda}(x)$ : Schur polynomial,  $h_k(x)$ : complete symm. polynomial.

Translation in the  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -modules

$$\lambda \in P^+$$
: dom. int. wt  $\leadsto \lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n)$  by  $\lambda_i = \sum_{k \ge i} \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  ch  $V(\lambda) = s_{\lambda}(x)$ , ch  $V(k\varpi_1) = h_k(x)$   $(V(\lambda)$ : simple  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -mod.)

#### Theorem

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$$

#### Introduction

Jacobi-Trudi formula

For a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n)$ ,

$$s_{\lambda}(x) = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

 $s_{\lambda}(x)$ : Schur polynomial,  $h_k(x)$ : complete symm. polynomial.

Translation in the  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -modules

$$\lambda \in P^+$$
: dom. int. wt  $\leadsto \lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n)$  by  $\lambda_i = \sum_{k \ge i} \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  ch  $V(\lambda) = s_{\lambda}(x)$ , ch  $V(k\varpi_1) = h_k(x)$   $(V(\lambda)$ : simple  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -mod.)

#### Theorem,

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{\mathsf{det}} \Big( \operatorname{ch} V \big( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \big) \Big)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

So ch 
$$V(\lambda) = \det \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i, i \leq n} \operatorname{holds} \underline{\operatorname{in type } A}.$$

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) \neq \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$$

when  $\mathfrak{g} 
eq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$  (though there may be several generalizations.)

Q. When 
$$\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$$
, does det  $\left(\operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ 

have some representation theoretic meaning? Yes!

So ch 
$$V(\lambda) = \det \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \text{ holds } \underline{\text{in type } A}.$$

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) \neq \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

when  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$  (though there may be several generalizations.)

Q. When 
$$\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$$
, does det  $\left(\operatorname{ch} V\left((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1\right)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ 

have some representation theoretic meaning? Yes!

So ch 
$$V(\lambda) = \det \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \le i, i \le n} \text{ holds } \underline{\text{in type } A}.$$

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) \neq \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

when  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$  (though there may be several generalizations.)

Q. When  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ , does det  $\left(\operatorname{ch} V\left((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1\right)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  have some representation theoretic meaning? Yes!

So ch 
$$V(\lambda) = \det \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \le i, i \le n} \text{ holds } \underline{\text{in type } A}.$$

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) \neq \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

when  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$  (though there may be several generalizations.)

Q. When  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ , does det  $\left(\operatorname{ch} V\left((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1\right)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ 

have some representation theoretic meaning? Yes!

In type BD, we have

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where  $L_q(\lambda)$  denotes a **minimal affinization** (a special class of f.d. simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -modules explained later).

In type C, a similar formula holds:

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \Big( \sum_{0 \leq 2k \leq \lambda_i - i + j} \operatorname{ch} V \big( (\lambda_i - i + j - 2k) \varpi_1 \big) \Big)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

In type BD, we have

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} V \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where  $L_q(\lambda)$  denotes a **minimal affinization** (a special class of f.d. simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -modules explained later).

In type C, a similar formula holds:

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \Big( \sum_{0 \leq 2k \leq \lambda_i - i + j} \operatorname{ch} V \big( (\lambda_i - i + j - 2k) \varpi_1 \big) \Big)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

### Plan

- 1. Definition of minimal affinizations  $L_q(\lambda)$
- 2. Main Theorem (JT formula for  $\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda)$ )
- 3. Proof (Combination of results proved by

[N], [Chari-Greenstein], [Sam])

In the proof, **graded limits** ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -modules) are used.

### Plan

- 1. Definition of minimal affinizations  $L_q(\lambda)$
- 2. Main Theorem (JT formula for  $\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda)$ )
- 3. Proof (Combination of results proved by

[N], [Chari-Greenstein], [Sam])

In the proof, **graded limits** ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -modules) are used.

### Plan

- 1. Definition of minimal affinizations  $L_q(\lambda)$
- 2. Main Theorem (JT formula for  $\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda)$ )
- 3. Proof (Combination of results proved by

[N], [Chari-Greenstein], [Sam])

In the proof, **graded limits** ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -modules) are used.

 $\mathfrak{g}$ : simple Lie algebra of rank n,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$$
: loop algebra,  $\left(\left[x\otimes f,y\otimes g\right]=\left[x,y\right]\otimes fg\right)$ 

$$U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$$
: quantum loop algebra $/\mathbb{C}(q)$   $\left(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})
ight)$ 

 $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum group assoc. with  $\mathfrak{g}$  (q-analog of  $U(\mathfrak{g}))$ 

(Note: 
$$g = g \otimes 1 \subseteq g \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] = \mathcal{L}g$$
)

- $(1) \begin{tabular}{ll} \{ {\rm f.d. \ simple \ } {\mathfrak g}{\rm -mod.} \} & \stackrel{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} P^+ \stackrel{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} \{ {\rm f.d. \ simple \ } U_q({\mathfrak g}){\rm -mod} \} \\ & \cup & \cup & \cup \\ & V(\lambda) & \lambda & V_q(\lambda) \\ \end{tabular}$
- (2) The cat. of f.d.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are semisimple.
- (3)  $\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V_{a}(\lambda).$

 $\mathfrak{g}$ : simple Lie algebra of rank n,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$$
: loop algebra,  $\left(\left[x\otimes f,y\otimes g\right]=\left[x,y\right]\otimes fg\right)$ 

$$U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$$
: quantum loop algebra $/\mathbb{C}(q)$   $\left(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})
ight)$ 

$$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$$
: quantum group assoc. with  $\mathfrak{g}$   $(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathfrak{g}))$ 

(Note: 
$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes 1 \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] = \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$$
)

- (2) The cat. of f.d.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are semisimple.
- (3)  $\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V_{a}(\lambda).$

 $\mathfrak{g}$ : simple Lie algebra of rank n,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$$
: loop algebra,  $\left(\left[x\otimes f,y\otimes g\right]=\left[x,y\right]\otimes fg\right)$ 

$$U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$$
: quantum loop algebra $/\mathbb{C}(q)$   $(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}))$ 

 $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum group assoc. with  $\mathfrak{g}$  (q-analog of  $U(\mathfrak{g}))$ 

(Note: 
$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes 1 \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] = \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$$
)

- $(1) \quad \{\text{f.d. simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod.}\} \stackrel{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} P^+ \stackrel{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} \{\text{f.d. simple } U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}\}$   $V(\lambda) \qquad \qquad \lambda \qquad \qquad V_q(\lambda)$
- (2) The cat. of f.d.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are semisimple.
- (3)  $\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V_{a}(\lambda).$

 $\mathfrak{g}$ : simple Lie algebra of rank n,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$$
: loop algebra,  $\left(\left[x\otimes f,y\otimes g\right]=\left[x,y\right]\otimes fg\right)$ 

 $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum loop algebra $/\mathbb{C}(q)$   $\left(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})
ight)$ 

 $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum group assoc. with  $\mathfrak{g}$  (q-analog of  $U(\mathfrak{g}))$ 

(Note:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes 1 \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] = \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ )

- (2) The cat. of f.d.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are semisimple.
- (3)  $\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V_{a}(\lambda).$

 $\mathfrak{g}$ : simple Lie algebra of rank n,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$$
: loop algebra,  $\left(\left[x\otimes f,y\otimes g\right]=\left[x,y\right]\otimes fg\right)$ 

$$U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$$
: quantum loop algebra $/\mathbb{C}(q)$   $(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}))$ 

 $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum group assoc. with  $\mathfrak{g}$   $\left(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathfrak{g})\right)$ 

(Note: 
$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes 1\subseteq \mathfrak{g}\otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]=\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$$

- (2) The cat. of f.d.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are semisimple.
- (3)  $\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V_{a}(\lambda).$

 $\mathfrak{g}$ : simple Lie algebra of rank n,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$$
: loop algebra,  $\left(\left[x\otimes f,y\otimes g\right]=\left[x,y\right]\otimes fg\right)$ 

$$U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$$
: quantum loop algebra $/\mathbb{C}(q)$   $(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}))$ 

 $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum group assoc. with  $\mathfrak{g}$   $\left(q$ -analog of  $U(\mathfrak{g})\right)$ 

(Note: 
$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes 1 \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] = \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$$
)

- (2) The cat. of f.d. g-modules and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are semisimple.
- (3)  $\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V_a(\lambda)$ .

<u>Fact.</u> V: an arbitrary f.d. simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$ightsquigarrow \exists ! \lambda \in P^+ ext{ s.t. } V \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus igoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m_\mu(V)} ext{ as a } U_q(\mathfrak{g}) ext{-module}.$$

In this case, V is called an **affinization** of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

$$ig\{ \mathit{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) ext{-isom. classes of affiniz. of } V_q(\lambda) ig\} \Leftarrow$$
 partial order is defined

$$ig([V] \geq [W] \Leftrightarrow ig\{ extit{m}_{\mu}(V) ig\}_{\mu} \geq ig\{ extit{m}_{\mu}(W) ig\}_{\mu} ext{ w.r.t. lexicographic order} ig)$$

V: minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\overset{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \circ \ V$  is an affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\circ$  the isom. class of V is minimal among affiniz. of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

<u>Fact.</u> V: an arbitrary f.d. simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$ightsquigarrow {}^{\exists!}\lambda \in P^+$$
 s.t.  $V \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus igoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m_\mu(V)}$  as a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

In this case, V is called an **affinization** of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

$$\left\{U_q(\mathfrak{g}) ext{-isom. classes of affiniz. of }V_q(\lambda)
ight\} \Leftarrow$$
 partial order is defined

$$ig([V] \geq [W] \Leftrightarrow ig\{ m_{\mu}(V) ig\}_{\mu} \geq ig\{ m_{\mu}(W) ig\}_{\mu}$$
 w.r.t. lexicographic order)

V: minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\overset{ ext{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \circ \ V$  is an affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\circ$  the isom. class of V is minimal among affiniz. of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

<u>Fact.</u> V: an arbitrary f.d. simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$ightsquigarrow$$
  $\exists ! \lambda \in P^+$  s.t.  $V \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m_\mu(V)}$  as a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

In this case, V is called an **affinization** of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

$$\left\{ \mathit{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{-isom. classes of affiniz. of } V_q(\lambda) 
ight\} \Leftarrow \mathsf{partial}$$
 order is defined

$$ig([V] \geq [W] \Leftrightarrow ig\{m_{\mu}(V)ig\}_{\mu} \geq ig\{m_{\mu}(W)ig\}_{\mu} ext{ w.r.t. lexicographic order}ig)$$

#### Definition

V: minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\overset{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \circ V$  is an affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\circ$  the isom. class of V is minimal among affiniz. of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

<u>Fact.</u> V: an arbitrary f.d. simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$ightsquigarrow {}^{\exists!}\lambda \in P^+$$
 s.t.  $V \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m_\mu(V)}$  as a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

In this case, V is called an **affinization** of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

$$\left\{ \mathit{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{-isom. classes of affiniz. of } V_q(\lambda) 
ight\} \Leftarrow \mathsf{partial}$$
 order is defined

$$([V] \geq [W] \Leftrightarrow ig\{m_{\mu}(V)ig\}_{\mu} \geq ig\{m_{\mu}(W)ig\}_{\mu} ext{ w.r.t. lexicographic order}ig)$$

#### **Definition**

V: minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\overset{\mathsf{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \circ V$  is an affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ 

 $\circ$  the isom. class of V is minimal among affiniz. of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

# Examples of Minimal affinizations

Minimal affinizations for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ 

When 
$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$$
,  $\exists$ alg. hom.  $\varphi \colon U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  (evaluation map) ( $q$ -analog of the map  $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \colon x \otimes f \to f(a)x$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ )  $\rightsquigarrow \varphi^*V_q(\lambda)$ : simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -mod.  $\Leftarrow$  minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$  ( $\because \varphi^*V_q(\lambda) \cong V_q(\lambda)$  as a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -mod.)

Remark. If  $g \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ , evaluation map **does not** exist.

 $\leadsto$  Most of minimal affinizations are reducible as a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, and it is not easy to determine the decompositions or characters

# **Examples of Minimal affinizations**

Minimal affinizations for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ 

When 
$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$$
,  $\exists$ alg. hom.  $\varphi\colon U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  (evaluation map)  $(q\text{-analog of the map }\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}\colon x\otimes f \to f(a)x \text{ for any }a\in\mathbb{C}^\times)$   $\rightsquigarrow \varphi^*V_q(\lambda)$ : simple  $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod.} \Leftarrow \text{minimal affinization of }V_q(\lambda)$   $(\because \varphi^*V_q(\lambda)\cong V_q(\lambda) \text{ as a }U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod.})$ 

Remark. If  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ , evaluation map **does not** exist.

 $\leadsto$  Most of minimal affinizations are reducible as a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, and it is not easy to determine the decompositions or characters.

Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules 
$$W_{k,a}^{(j)}$$
  $\left(1\leq j\leq n, k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a\in\mathbb{C}(q)\right)$ 

- ferimionic character formula
- having crystal bases
- T-system ⇒ Monoidal categorification by Hernandez-Leclerc

$$0 \to W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)} \otimes W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)} \to W_{k,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)} \to W_{k+1,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)} \to 0$$

$$\longrightarrow [W_{k,aq}^{(i)}][W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)}] = [W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)}][W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)}] + [W_{k+1,a}^{(i)}][W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)}] \text{ in } K_0(U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}))$$

$$\circ \left\{W_{k,a}^{(i)} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}(q)
ight\} = \left\{ ext{minimal affinizations of } V_q(karpi_i)
ight\}$$



Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules 
$$W_{k,a}^{(j)}$$
  $\left(1 \leq j \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a \in \mathbb{C}(q)\right)$ 

- ferimionic character formula
- having crystal bases
- T-system ⇒ Monoidal categorification by Hernandez-Leclerc

$$0 \to W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)} \otimes W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)} \to W_{k,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)} \to W_{k+1,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)} \to 0$$

$$\longrightarrow [W_{k,a}^{(i)}][W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)}] = [W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)}][W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)}] + [W_{k+1,a}^{(i)}][W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)}] \text{ in } K_0(U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}))$$

$$\circ$$
  $\left\{W_{k,a}^{(i)} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}(q)
ight\} = \left\{ ext{minimal affinizations of } V_q(karpi_i)
ight\}$ 



Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules 
$$W_{k,a}^{(j)}$$
  $\left(1\leq j\leq n, k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a\in\mathbb{C}(q)\right)$ 

- ferimionic character formula
- having crystal bases
- T-system ⇒ Monoidal categorification by Hernandez-Leclerc

$$0 \to W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)} \otimes W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)} \to W_{k,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)} \to W_{k+1,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)} \to 0$$

$$\leadsto [W_{k,aq}^{(i)}][W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)}] = [W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)}][W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)}] + [W_{k+1,a}^{(i)}][W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)}] \text{ in } K_0(U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}))$$

$$\circ \, \left\{ W_{k,a}^{(i)} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}(q) \right\} = \left\{ \text{minimal affinizations of } V_q(k\varpi_i) \right\}$$



Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules 
$$W_{k,a}^{(j)}$$
  $\left(1\leq j\leq n, k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a\in\mathbb{C}(q)\right)$ 

- ferimionic character formula
- having crystal bases
- T-system ⇒ Monoidal categorification by Hernandez-Leclerc

$$0 \to W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)} \otimes W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)} \to W_{k,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)} \to W_{k+1,a}^{(i)} \otimes W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)} \to 0$$

$$\leadsto [W_{k,aq}^{(i)}][W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)}] = [W_{k,aq}^{(i-1)}][W_{k,aq}^{(i+1)}] + [W_{k+1,a}^{(i)}][W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)}] \text{ in } K_0(U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}))$$

$$\circ \left\{ W_{k,a}^{(i)} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}(q) 
ight\} = \left\{ ext{minimal affinizations of } V_q(karpi_i) 
ight\}$$



### Main Theorem

In the sequel, assume that  $\mathfrak g$  is of type ABCD. Let  $\lambda \in P^+$ , and let  $L_q(\lambda)$  be a minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

#### Theorem

Assume that 
$$\begin{cases} \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \colon \text{type } BC, \\ \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle = \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \colon \text{type } D, \end{cases}$$
 and set  $\lambda_i := \sum_{k \geq i} \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$  Then we have 
$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \det \left( \operatorname{ch} V \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} & \text{g: } ABD \end{cases}$$
 
$$= \begin{cases} \det \left( \sum_{0 \leq 2\ell \leq \lambda_i - i + j} \operatorname{ch} V \left( (\lambda_i - i + j - 2\ell) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} & \text{g: } C \end{cases}$$

Remark. In type A, this is JT formula since  $\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V(\lambda)$ .

### Main Theorem

In the sequel, assume that  $\mathfrak g$  is of type ABCD.

Let  $\lambda \in P^+$ , and let  $L_q(\lambda)$  be a minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

#### Theorem

Assume that 
$$\begin{cases} \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \colon \text{type } BC, \\ \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle = \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \colon \text{type } D, \end{cases}$$
 and set  $\lambda_i := \sum_{k \geq i} \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$  Then we have 
$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \det \left( \operatorname{ch} V \big( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \big) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} & \mathfrak{g} \colon ABD \\ \det \left( \sum_{0 \leq 2\ell \leq \lambda_i - i + j} \operatorname{ch} V \big( (\lambda_i - i + j - 2\ell) \varpi_1 \big) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} & \mathfrak{g} \colon C \end{cases}$$

Remark. In type A, this is JT formula since  $\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V(\lambda)$ .

### Main Theorem

In the sequel, assume that  $\mathfrak g$  is of type *ABCD*.

Let  $\lambda \in P^+$ , and let  $L_q(\lambda)$  be a minimal affinization of  $V_q(\lambda)$ .

#### Theorem

Assume that 
$$\begin{cases} \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{: type } BC, \\ \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle = \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{: type } D, \end{cases}$$
 and set  $\lambda_i := \sum_{k \geq i} \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$  Then we have  $\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda)$  
$$= \begin{cases} \det \left( \operatorname{ch} V \big( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \big) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} & \mathfrak{g} \text{: } ABD \end{cases}$$
 
$$\det \left( \sum_{0 \leq 2\ell \leq \lambda_i - i + j} \operatorname{ch} V \big( (\lambda_i - i + j - 2\ell) \varpi_1 \big) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} & \mathfrak{g} \text{: } C$$

Remark. In type A, this is JT formula since  $\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V(\lambda)$ .

Remark. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , it holds that

$$L_{q}(k\varpi_{1}) \cong_{U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})} \begin{cases} V_{q}(k\varpi_{1}) & \mathfrak{g}: ABD, \\ \bigoplus_{0 \leq 2\ell \leq k} V_{q}((k-2\ell)\varpi_{1}) & \mathfrak{g}: C. \end{cases}$$

Hence the theorem can be written in a uniform way as

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.$$

#### Corollary

 $\lambda \in P^+$ : as above. For every  $\mu \in P^+$ ,

$$\left[L_q(\lambda): V_q(\mu)\right]_{U_q(\mathfrak{g})} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\kappa} c_{2\kappa,\mu}^{\lambda} & \mathfrak{g}: BD, \\ \sum_{\kappa} c_{(2\kappa)',\mu}^{\lambda} & \mathfrak{g}: C. \end{cases}$$

 $\kappa$ : partitions,  $c_{u,v}^{\lambda}$ : Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

Remark. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , it holds that

$$L_{q}(k\varpi_{1}) \cong_{U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})} \begin{cases} V_{q}(k\varpi_{1}) & \mathfrak{g}: ABD, \\ \bigoplus_{0 \leq 2\ell \leq k} V_{q}((k-2\ell)\varpi_{1}) & \mathfrak{g}: C. \end{cases}$$

Hence the theorem can be written in a uniform way as

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

### Corollary

 $\lambda \in P^+$ : as above. For every  $\mu \in P^+$ ,

$$\left[L_q(\lambda): V_q(\mu)\right]_{U_q(\mathfrak{g})} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\kappa} c_{2\kappa,\mu}^{\lambda} & \mathfrak{g}: BD, \\ \sum_{\kappa} c_{(2\kappa)',\mu}^{\lambda} & \mathfrak{g}: C. \end{cases}$$

 $\kappa$ : partitions,  $c_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda}$ : Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

### Comments on the theorem

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.$$

- 1. In [Nakai-Nakanishi, 06], they have conjectured some formulas for q-characters of  $L_q(\lambda)$  (q-character  $\stackrel{\text{specialize}}{\to}$  character). In fact the specialization of their formula coincides with  $\det\left(\operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i-i+j)\varpi_1)\right)_{1\leq i,i\leq n}$ .
- 2. In type B, NN conj. has been proven by [Hernandez, 07].
- 3. In type *CD*, NN conj. is still open and the Theorem is a new result.



### Comments on the theorem

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.$$

- 1. In [Nakai-Nakanishi, 06], they have conjectured some formulas for q-characters of  $L_q(\lambda)$  (q-character  $\stackrel{\text{specialize}}{\to}$  character). In fact the specialization of their formula coincides with  $\det\left(\operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i-i+j)\varpi_1)\right)_{1\leq i,i\leq n}$ .
- 2. In type B, NN conj. has been proven by [Hernandez, 07].
- 3. In type *CD*, NN conj. is still open and the Theorem is a new result.



#### Comments on the theorem

$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{det} \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i - i + j)\varpi_1) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.$$

- 1. In [Nakai-Nakanishi, 06], they have conjectured some formulas for q-characters of  $L_q(\lambda)$  (q-character  $\stackrel{\text{specialize}}{\to}$  character). In fact the specialization of their formula coincides with  $\det\left(\operatorname{ch} L_q((\lambda_i-i+j)\varpi_1)\right)_{1\leq i,i\leq n}$ .
- 2. In type B, NN conj. has been proven by [Hernandez, 07].
- 3. In type *CD*, NN conj. is still open and the Theorem is a new result.



## Sketch of the proof

#### Graded limits

$$L_q(\lambda) \colon U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}) ext{-mod.}/\mathbb{C}(q) \stackrel{q o 1}{\longrightarrow} L_1(\lambda) \colon \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} ext{-mod.}/\mathbb{C} ext{ (classical limit)}$$
 $\stackrel{\mathsf{restrict}}{\longrightarrow} L_1(\lambda) \colon \mathfrak{g}[t] ext{-module} \quad \left(\mathfrak{g}[t] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]\right)$ 

$$\rightarrow$$
 Define an auto.  $\tau_a$  on  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$  by  $\tau_a(g \otimes f(t)) = g \otimes f(t+a)$ 

$$L(\lambda) := \tau_a^*(L_1(\lambda))$$
: graded limit of  $L_q(\lambda)$  ( $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module)

Remark. 
$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)$$
.

## Sketch of the proof

#### Graded limits

$$L_q(\lambda) \colon U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}) ext{-mod.}/\mathbb{C}(q) \stackrel{q o 1}{\longrightarrow} L_1(\lambda) \colon \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} ext{-mod.}/\mathbb{C} ext{ (classical limit)}$$
 $\stackrel{\mathsf{restrict}}{\longrightarrow} L_1(\lambda) \colon \mathfrak{g}[t] ext{-module} \quad \left(\mathfrak{g}[t] = \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\right)$ 

$$\underline{\mathsf{Fact.}}\ ^\exists a\in\mathbb{C}^\times\ \mathsf{s.t.}\ \big(\mathfrak{g}\otimes(t+a)^{\mathsf{N}}\big)L_1(\lambda)=0 \quad \ (\mathsf{N}\gg 0)$$

$$ightharpoonup$$
 Define an auto.  $au_a$  on  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$  by  $au_aig(g\otimes f(t)ig)=g\otimes f(t+a)$ 

$$L(\lambda) := \tau_a^*(L_1(\lambda))$$
: graded limit of  $L_q(\lambda)$  ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module)

Remark. 
$$\operatorname{ch} L_q(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)$$
.

 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ : triangular decomosition,

Define 
$$\Delta'_+ := \{ \alpha \in \Delta_+ \mid \alpha = \sum m_i \alpha_i, \ m_i \leq 1 \} \subseteq \Delta_+.$$

### Proposition (N)

Let  $M(\lambda)$  be the  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module generated by a vector v with relations

$$\mathfrak{n}_+[t]v = 0, \quad (h \otimes t^n)v = \delta_{0,n}\lambda(h)v \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1}v = 0,$$

$$(f_\alpha \otimes t)v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta'_+.$$

Then the graded limit  $L(\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $M(\lambda)$ .

A sketch of the proof of this theorem will be given later.

### Proposition (Chari-Greenstein, 11)

$$\sum_{(\lambda,s)\in\Gamma(\mu)}(-1)^s\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{\,\mathfrak{g}}\big(V(\lambda),\bigwedge^s\mathfrak{g}\otimes V(\mu)\big)\mathrm{ch}\, \textit{M}(\lambda)=\mathrm{ch}\, V(\mu),$$

$$\Gamma(\mu) = \{(\lambda, s) \mid \mu = \lambda + \sum_{\alpha \notin \Delta'_+} n_{\alpha} \alpha, \sum n_{\alpha} = s\} \subseteq P^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

#### Proposition (Sam. 14)

Setting 
$$H_{\lambda} = \det \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \le i, j \le n}$$
,
$$\sum_{(\lambda, s) \in \Gamma(\mu)} (-1)^s \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} \left( V(\lambda), \bigwedge^s \mathfrak{g} \otimes V(\mu) \right) H_{\lambda} = \operatorname{ch} V(\mu).$$

$$\therefore H_{\lambda} = \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L_{\sigma}(\lambda).$$



#### Proposition (Chari-Greenstein, 11)

$$\sum_{(\lambda,s)\in\Gamma(\mu)}(-1)^s\dim\mathrm{Hom}\,_{\mathfrak{g}}\big(V(\lambda),\bigwedge^s\mathfrak{g}\otimes V(\mu)\big)\mathrm{ch}\, \textit{M}(\lambda)=\mathrm{ch}\, V(\mu),$$

$$\Gamma(\mu) = \{(\lambda, s) \mid \mu = \lambda + \sum_{\alpha \notin \Delta'_{\perp}} n_{\alpha} \alpha, \sum n_{\alpha} = s\} \subseteq P^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

#### Proposition (Sam, 14)

Setting 
$$H_{\lambda} = \det \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$
, 
$$\sum_{(\lambda, s) \in \Gamma(\mu)} (-1)^s \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} \left( V(\lambda), \bigwedge^s \mathfrak{g} \otimes V(\mu) \right) H_{\lambda} = \operatorname{ch} V(\mu).$$

$$\therefore H_{\lambda} = \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L_{\sigma}(\lambda).$$



### Proposition (Chari-Greenstein, 11)

$$\sum_{(\lambda,s)\in\Gamma(\mu)}(-1)^s\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{\,\mathfrak{g}}\big(V(\lambda),\bigwedge^s\mathfrak{g}\otimes V(\mu)\big)\mathrm{ch}\, \textit{M}(\lambda)=\mathrm{ch}\, V(\mu),$$

$$\Gamma(\mu) = \{(\lambda, s) \mid \mu = \lambda + \sum_{\alpha \notin \Delta'_{\perp}} n_{\alpha} \alpha, \sum n_{\alpha} = s\} \subseteq P^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

#### Proposition (Sam, 14)

Setting 
$$H_{\lambda} = \det \left( \operatorname{ch} L_q \left( (\lambda_i - i + j) \varpi_1 \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$
, 
$$\sum_{(\lambda, s) \in \Gamma(\mu)} (-1)^s \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} \left( V(\lambda), \bigwedge^s \mathfrak{g} \otimes V(\mu) \right) H_{\lambda} = \operatorname{ch} V(\mu).$$

$$\therefore H_{\lambda} = \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} L_{a}(\lambda).$$



# Sketch of the proof for $L(\lambda) \cong M(\lambda)$

 $M(\lambda)$ : the  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module generated by v with relations

$$\mathfrak{n}_+[t]v = 0, \quad (h \otimes t^n)v = \delta_{0,n}\lambda(h)v \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1}v = 0,$$
 
$$(f_\alpha \otimes t)v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta'_+.$$

$$L(\lambda) \cong M(\lambda) \iff (i) M(\lambda) \Rightarrow L(\lambda), \quad (ii) L(\lambda) \Rightarrow M(\lambda)$$

- (i) can be proved directly.
- (ii) We shall prove

$$L(\lambda)$$
  $\rightarrow$  "generalized Demazure module"  $\rightarrow$   $M(\lambda)$ .

# Sketch of the proof for $L(\lambda) \cong M(\lambda)$

 $M(\lambda)$ : the  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module generated by v with relations

$$\mathfrak{n}_+[t]v = 0, \quad (h \otimes t^n)v = \delta_{0,n}\lambda(h)v \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1}v = 0,$$
 
$$(f_\alpha \otimes t)v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta'_+.$$

$$L(\lambda) \cong M(\lambda) \iff (i) \ M(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda), \quad (ii) \ L(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda)$$

- (i) can be proved directly.
- (ii) We shall prove
  - $L(\lambda)$   $\rightarrow$  "generalized Demazure module"  $\rightarrow$   $M(\lambda)$ .

## Sketch of the proof for $L(\lambda) \cong M(\lambda)$

 $M(\lambda)$ : the  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module generated by v with relations

$$\mathfrak{n}_+[t]v = 0, \quad (h \otimes t^n)v = \delta_{0,n}\lambda(h)v \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1}v = 0,$$

$$(f_\alpha \otimes t)v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta'_+.$$

$$L(\lambda) \cong M(\lambda) \iff (i) \ M(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda), \quad (ii) \ L(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda)$$

- (i) can be proved directly.
- (ii) We shall prove
  - $L(\lambda)$  --- "generalized Demazure module" ---  $M(\lambda)$ .

#### generalized Demazure module

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}K\oplus\mathbb{C}d$$
: affine Lie algebra  $\supseteq\mathfrak{g}[t]$ 

 $\widehat{V}(\Lambda)$ : integrable highest weight  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with h.w.  $\Lambda$ 

$$\Lambda^1,\ldots,\Lambda^k$$
: dom. int. wts of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad w_1,\ldots,w_k \in \widehat{W}$ 

 $(v_{w_i\Lambda^i} \in \hat{V}(\Lambda^i)_{w_i\Lambda^i}$ : extremal weight vector)

#### Lemma

For certain sequences  $\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n$  and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ , we have

$$L(\lambda) \to D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n) \to M(\lambda).$$

#### generalized Demazure module

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}K\oplus\mathbb{C}d$$
: affine Lie algebra  $\supseteq\mathfrak{g}[t]$ 

 $\widehat{V}(\Lambda)$ : integrable highest weight  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with h.w.  $\Lambda$ 

$$\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^k$$
: dom. int. wts of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $w_1, \ldots, w_k \in \widehat{W}$ 

$$\rightsquigarrow D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^k; w_1, \ldots, w_k) = \mathfrak{g}[t](v_{w_1}\Lambda^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_k}\Lambda^k)$$

$$\subseteq \widehat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{V}(\Lambda^k)$$

 $(v_{w_i\Lambda^i}\in \widehat{V}(\Lambda^i)_{w_i\Lambda^i}$ : extremal weight vector)

#### Lemma

For certain sequences  $\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n$  and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ , we have

$$L(\lambda) \to D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n) \to M(\lambda)$$

#### generalized Demazure module

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}K\oplus\mathbb{C}d$$
: affine Lie algebra  $\supseteq\mathfrak{g}[t]$ 

 $\widehat{V}(\Lambda)$ : integrable highest weight  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with h.w.  $\Lambda$ 

$$\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^k$$
: dom. int. wts of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad w_1, \dots, w_k \in \widehat{W}$ 

$$\rightsquigarrow D(\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^k; w_1, \dots, w_k) = \mathfrak{g}[t](v_{w_1\Lambda^1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{w_k\Lambda^k})$$

$$\subseteq \widehat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{V}(\Lambda^k)$$

 $(v_{w_i\Lambda^i} \in \widehat{V}(\Lambda^i)_{w_i\Lambda^i}$ : extremal weight vector)

#### Lemma

For certain sequences  $\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n$  and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ , we have

$$L(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda).$$

proof of 
$$L(\lambda) \rightarrow D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$$

$$L_q(\lambda) \hookrightarrow L_q(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_q(\mu_n)$$

$$\stackrel{\mathsf{gr. lim.}}{\leadsto} L(\lambda) \stackrel{\exists \phi}{\to} L(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mu_n) \stackrel{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} \widehat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{V}(\Lambda^n)$$

$$(:: L(\mu_i) \hookrightarrow \widehat{V}(\Lambda^i) \text{ for each } i)$$

Check that the image of  $\psi \circ \phi$  is  $D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ .

proof of 
$$D(\Lambda^1,\ldots,\Lambda^n;w_1,\ldots,w_n) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda)$$

We can determine the defining relations of

 $D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$  by the induction on  $\sum_i \ell(w_i)$ .

Check that  $M(\lambda)$  also satisfies these relations

proof of 
$$L(\lambda) \rightarrow D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$$

$$L_q(\lambda) \hookrightarrow L_q(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_q(\mu_n)$$

$$\overset{\mathsf{gr.\,lim.}}{\leadsto} L(\lambda) \overset{\exists_{\phi}}{\to} L(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mu_n) \overset{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} \widehat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{V}(\Lambda^n)$$

$$(\because L(\mu_i) \hookrightarrow \widehat{V}(\Lambda^i) \text{ for each } i)$$

Check that the image of  $\psi \circ \phi$  is  $D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ .

proof of 
$$D(\Lambda^1,\ldots,\Lambda^n;w_1,\ldots,w_n) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda)$$

We can determine the defining relations of

 $D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$  by the induction on  $\sum_i \ell(w_i)$ .

Check that  $M(\lambda)$  also satisfies these relations.

proof of 
$$L(\lambda) \rightarrow D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$$

$$L_q(\lambda) \hookrightarrow L_q(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_q(\mu_n)$$

$$\overset{\mathsf{gr.\,lim.}}{\leadsto} L(\lambda) \overset{\exists_{\phi}}{\to} L(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mu_n) \overset{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} \widehat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{V}(\Lambda^n)$$

$$(\because L(\mu_i) \hookrightarrow \widehat{V}(\Lambda^i) \text{ for each } i)$$

Check that the image of  $\psi \circ \phi$  is  $D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ .

proof of 
$$D(\Lambda^1,\ldots,\Lambda^n;w_1,\ldots,w_n) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda)$$

We can determine the defining relations of

 $D(\Lambda^1,\ldots,\Lambda^n;w_1,\ldots,w_n)$  by the induction on  $\sum_i \ell(w_i)$ .

Check that  $M(\lambda)$  also satisfies these relations

proof of 
$$L(\lambda) \rightarrow D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$$

$$L_q(\lambda) \hookrightarrow L_q(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_q(\mu_n)$$

$$\overset{\mathsf{gr.\,lim.}}{\leadsto} L(\lambda) \overset{\exists_{\phi}}{\to} L(\mu_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mu_n) \overset{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} \widehat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{V}(\Lambda^n)$$

$$(\because L(\mu_i) \hookrightarrow \widehat{V}(\Lambda^i) \text{ for each } i)$$

Check that the image of  $\psi \circ \phi$  is  $D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ .

proof of 
$$D(\Lambda^1,\ldots,\Lambda^n;w_1,\ldots,w_n) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda)$$

We can determine the defining relations of

$$D(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^n; w_1, \ldots, w_n)$$
 by the induction on  $\sum_i \ell(w_i)$ .

Check that  $M(\lambda)$  also satisfies these relations.

